Electrical Stimulation in the Treatment of Dysphagia – Literature review


Design: Case study
Subjects: 76 year old man with severe dysphagia as a result of 2 consecutive strokes suffered 3 years earlier. Patient had failed prior traditional therapy.
Method: VitalStim Therapy delivered for 60 minutes over a period of 5 months.
Outcome measures: Swallowing score based on FEES and VFSS evaluation.
Results: Patient returned to full oral intake with minor diet modifications.

Baijens, 2011: Surface ES in dysphagic Parkinson’s patients.

Design: Case Control Study
Objective: Describe the effects of a single session of surface electrical stimulation in different electrode positions in 10 patients with Parkinson’s disease and oropharyngeal dysphagia.
Subjects: 10 mentally competent dysphagic patients with a diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease and 10 healthy controls
Method: ES was delivered using 3 electrode placements while 12 total trials of 10cc of thin liquid barium were administered by syringe under videofluoroscopy.
Outcome measures: Temporal, spatial and visuoperceptual variables were scored by raters who were blinded to the group, electrode placement and current status.
Results: For most of the temporal, spatial and visuoperceptual variables tested using the ES, no statistically significant changes were found. Some temporal and spatial variables were found to be significant in both groups regardless of stimulation status.


Design: Case reports
Objective: Evaluate benefit of electrical stimulation (ES) for pain control in head and neck cancer.
Subjects: 3 patients status post surgery and radiation therapy (RT) for squamous cell carcinoma of the head/neck.
Method: ES delivered for 2 minutes to painful areas of the head and neck. Current applied with an alternating current stimulator.
Outcome measures: Pain rating on 1-10 visual analog scale before and after each ES treatment and amount of pain medication patients were taking.
Results: All patients had a significant decrease in pain after ES and no side effects were reported.

Barikroo, 2011: NMES vs Traditional therapy in encaphilitis patient.

Design: Case study
Objective: To report on the outcomes of the use of NMES with concurrent traditional therapy in a patient with post-encephalitic dysphagia.
Subjects: 49 year old male with residual liquid dysphagia 6 months post encephalitis.
Method: Phase 1: Patient received traditional therapy (diet modification, thermal stimulation, head and neck positioning, chin down maneuver) for 3 months (1 x 1 hr session per week). Phase 2: NMES + traditional therapy for the same duration and frequency as Phase 1.
Outcome measures: FOIS, SWAL-QOL, presence of signs and symptoms of penetration/aspiration.
Results: Patient did not show improvement after phase 1. Patient recovered normal swallow function after adding NMES in phase 2.

Belafsky, 2004: Prospective study of effects of ES on dysphagia.

Design: Prospective observational study without control arm
Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES on swallow function.
Method: Non-randomized, non-blinded. Patients received an average of 10 ES treatments.
Outcome measures: Non-validated swallow function scale.
Results: Well tolerated with no complications. Swallow score improved 2.1 – 4.9 after therapy.

Beom, 2011: Prospective study of effects of ES on dysphagia after brain injury.

Design: Prospective non-concurrent control comparative design
Objective: To observe the effect of repetitive electrical stimulation of the suprahyoid muscles in dysphagic patients with brain injury.
Subjects: 28 acute stroke patients with dysphagia.
Method: Patients admitted between January ’06 and March ’07 (n=21) received conventional therapy only. Patients admitted between April ’07 and July ’07 (n=7) received conventional therapy with concurrent VitalStim
Therapy. Treatments were delivered 2x per day for 30 minutes, 5 days per week x 4 weeks.

Outcome measures: VDS, ASHA-NOMS.

Results: Both groups improved but there were no significant differences between the study groups.


**Design:** Retrospective non-concurrent control comparative design

**Objective:** To investigate the role of transcutaneous neuromuscular electrical stimulation (TNMES) in maintaining swallowing function during chemoradiation for locally advanced head and neck cancer.

**Subjects:** 43 consecutive patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer were treated with TNMES. Outcomes were compared with 55 historical control patients.

**Method:** Records for patients receiving TNMES were evaluated and compared to historical records for patients who received traditional therapy without TNMES. Validated swallowing scale scores were assigned. Results: All patients swallowing scores declined post CT+RT. A difference in mean decline in scores for CG versus TG using Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS) was seen, favoring TNMES intervention (23% vs 7%, p=0.015).

**Outcome measures:** FOIS.

**Results:** TNMES group showed better gains in swallowing ability than traditional therapy.

*Blumenfeld, 2006: ES in chronic, severe dysphagia.*

**Design:** Retrospective case control study

**Objective:** Compare effect of ES to Thermal Stimulation (TS) on dysphagia.

**Subjects:** 80 patients with dysphagia, mostly due to respiratory failure.

**Method:** 40 patients had received ES, 40 other patients had received TS.

**Outcome measures:** Swallow ability on non-validated swallow scale.

**Results:** Patients who had received ES received fewer treatments and required shorter hospitalization. Swallow score improvement were superior for ES group.

*Bogaardt, 2008: Use of NMES in the treatment of dysphagia in MS patients.*

**Design:** Case series

**Objective:** Evaluate NMES as a method to treat dysphagia in multiple sclerosis
Subjects: 25 patients with multiple sclerosis and swallowing problems. 16 male, 9 female, average age 53.1 years.

Method: Patients received 6 treatments sessions over 3 weeks (2 sessions per week). Patients were instructed to swallow as soon as they felt the electricity, which surged in and out at set intervals for 20 minutes. The suprahyoid (submandibular) and thyrohyoid muscles were stimulated to facilitate hyolaryngeal excursion.

Outcome measures: Results on a timed swallowing task (speed of swallowing different consistencies); score on Penetration-Aspiration scale and on Dysphagia Severity Scale as measured with FEES; Quality of Life score.

Results: Patients demonstrated a significant decrease in piriform pooling, significantly less aspiration of thin liquids and improved self-reported swallowing ability and quality of life.

Boswell, 1985: Treatment of radiation side-effects with surface electrotherapy.

Design: Case control study

Objective: Evaluate ES as a method to treat radiation side effects of mucositis, pain, dryness, and dysphagia

Subjects: 10 patients undergoing radiation therapy (RT) for oropharyngeal cancer compared to a randomly selected, retrospective, control group of 13 patients with oropharyngeal cancer who had previously completed RT.

Method: ES group received ES within 4 hours after receiving RT. ES treatments were varied and individually tailored per patient tolerance. Treatment sessions continued until symptoms were nil or minimal.

Outcome measures: RT interruptions from mucositis and subjective reports of symptoms on symptom report.

Results: ES group had no RT interruptions from mucositis as compared to 9/13 patients in the control group with RT interruptions because of symptoms. All ES patients had symptoms minimized or eliminated during RT and benefit remained up to 1 year post-treatment unless recurrence of disease occurred. No side effects were reported.


Design: Single case study

Objective: Investigate effect of ES on RT side effects (irreversible xerostomia, temporary dysgeusia, throat pain, and possible mucositis and radiation dermatitis) immediately following RT

Subjects: Single patient receiving RT for carcinoma of the right tonsillar area.

Method: ES provided to radiated area up to 30 minutes immediately following each radiation session.
Outcome measures: Patient rated dryness on a 5-level non-validated scale prior to and immediately following each ES treatment. RT side effects were monitored.

Results: Decrease in xerostomia: saliva flow was normal by the termination of RT. Patient exhibited no mucositis, radiation dermatitis, dysgeusia, or throat pain.

Bülow, 2008: ES versus traditional therapy.

Design: Randomized study
Objective: Compare effect of use of ES to use of traditional treatment techniques in stroke patients with chronic dysphagia.
Subjects: A total of 25 patients were randomized into one of 2 groups, one group receiving electrotherapy without any additional therapy or maneuver, the other group receiving a combination of traditional therapy techniques.
Method: Patients received 15 1-hour treatment sessions over a 3 week period. VFS and self-rating of swallowing ability was analyzed before and after therapy.
Results: Both groups showed significant improvement in swallowing ability and safety. The sample size was too small to detect a difference between the treatment groups.


Design: Meta-analysis
Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES swallowing rehabilitation.
Subjects: A total of 255 patients were studied in 7 of 81 research papers evaluated to determine effect size of the use of ES.
Method: Accepted studies were evaluated for quality. Data was analyzed individually and then pooled.
Results: The analysis shows a significant effect size for ES in the treatment of swallowing disorders indicating support for the use of ES.


Design: Prospective case series
Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES with concurrent standardized exercise regimen on swallow function in chronic dysphagia patients.
Subjects: 6 adult patients with treatment refractory chronic pharyngeal dysphagia were treated via a standardized protocol of swallowing-based exercise with adjunctive NMES. Patient diagnoses included stroke (n=3), cancer (n=2), traumatic brain injury (n=1).
Method: Subjects received treatment for one hour per day, five days per week, for three weeks. Patients underwent clinical and instrumental baseline, post treatment, and six month follow up evaluations.

Outcome measures: Clinical swallowing ability, functional oral intake, and change in body weight; change in hyoid and laryngeal elevation during swallowing measured from videofluoroscopic swallowing examinations; and patient perception of swallowing ability and descriptive changes on instrumental swallowing examinations.

Results: 80% of patients demonstrated significant improvement in clinical swallowing ability, functional oral intake, weight gain, and patient perception of swallowing ability. Hyoid elevation during swallowing demonstrated a non-significant decrease following therapy but laryngeal elevation increased, indicating improved hyolaryngeal approximation, especially when swallowing thick consistencies. All patients significantly increased the range and amount of materials they consumed safely. No patient experienced a treatment-related or swallowing-related complication. Four of five patients who were followed out to six months post treatment maintained functional gains.

*Chaudhuri, 2006: ES for acute dysphagia after stroke.*

Design: Prospective randomized study

Objective: To compare the effectiveness of electric stimulation with traditional dysphagia treatment following an acute stroke.

Subjects: 11 acute stroke patients (<6wk) with dysphagia.

Method: Patients divided into 2 groups: ES group (n=6) and group 2 receiving traditional dysphagia treatment (n=5). ES patients received ES in addition to swallowing exercises at same frequency as patients in group 2 received traditional therapy.

Outcome measures: National Outcome Measurement System (NOMS) swallowing level was assigned to each patient based on diet and supervision level as determined by pre- and post-treatment videofluoroscopic swallow studies.

Results: Progress made for swallowing level for group 1 was statistically significant but not for group 2.

*Cheung, 2010: Use of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in patient with Sjögren’s Syndrome.*

Design: Case report


Subjects: 54 year old female with severe pharyngeal dysphagia characterized by delayed pharyngeal transit time, pooling in the valleculae, penetration, and absence of laryngeal elevation.
Method: Patient was treated with NMES 1 hour, 3x/week in conjunction with a swallowing rehabilitation program.

Outcome measures: After 10 treatment sessions, swallow functioning was assessed in real time with NMES using VFSS which showed immediate improvement in tongue retraction, clearing of the valleculae, increase in laryngeal elevation, and shortening of pharyngeal transit time. A final VFSS follow-up test was conducted prior to discharge.

Results: After 46 sessions with NMES, oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing were normal, and her diet level was maintained at 1 year follow-up.

Christiaanse, 2003: Use of electrical stimulation in the pediatric population.

Design: Case series
Objective: Report on the effectiveness of the use of ES in the pediatric population.
Subjects: 30 consecutive pediatric patients referred for ES after failing traditional dysphagia therapy. Patients had multiple etiologies: congenital anomaly (n=17), acquired CNS lesion (n=7), or unknown (n=6).
Method: Patients received ES to the anterior neck for an average of 22 sessions of 1 hour each, until the swallow had improved or until no further improvement was noted.
Outcome measures: Swallow score on non-validated scale. Videofluoroscopy evaluation.
Results: 17/30 patients improved with 5/30 achieving a normal swallow. No adverse events were reported.

Clark, 2009: Systematic review of literature on use of NMES in swallowing rehabilitation.

Design: Evidence based systematic review
Objective: To systematically review the literature examining the effects of NMES on swallowing and neural activation.
Subjects: 14 articles were identified that met the criteria for inclusion in the systematic review. These English language articles were peer reviewed, were published between 1996 and 2007, and addressed one or more of 5 clinical questions about the effectiveness of NMES in swallowing rehabilitation.
Outcome measures: Accepted studies were evaluated for methodological quality based on the ASHA Levels of Evidence Scheme. Papers were analyzed individually and synthesized were possible. Magnitude of effect size was calculated wherever possible.
Results: Promising results are reported in the reviewed literature on the use of surface NMES as a motor facilitation tool (VitalStim). The use of NMES as a sensory facilitation tool is also reported as a promising modality.
Studies on both these application methods generally have methodological limitations, making it difficult to estimate effect size.

Crary, 2007: User and patient satisfaction surveys with use of ES.

Design: User survey

Objective: To evaluate practice patterns and experience of therapists using ES as a treatment modality for dysphagia.

Subjects: Survey sent to 2,000 therapists; 840 respondents.

Outcome measures: Perceptions of use of electrotherapy. Practice patterns of users. Reported outcomes.

Results: Most common etiology treated with ES is stroke (>70%). Majority of users (>70%) treat for 1-hour sessions, 3-5 x per week. Majority of respondents use other treatment techniques (>90%) in conjunction with ES. Majority of users report good outcomes in >50% of patients: advanced oral diet and reduced aspiration. Majority (>50%) report no complications of ES. Overall satisfaction with ES was high for patients (80%) and therapists (78%).

Doeltgen, 2008: Frequency of electrical stimulation and submental muscle facilitation.

Design: Physiology study on normal subjects

Objective: Compare the effects of electrical stimulation at different frequencies (5 Hz, 20 Hz, 40 Hz and 80 Hz) on the excitability of the submental muscles.

Subjects: Normal subjects.

Method: Subjects received electrical stimulation following a voluntary swallow effort. Maximum evoked potentials (MEP) were recorded in the submental muscles by means of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS).

Outcome measures: MEP amplitude.

Results: 5, 20 and 40 Hz stimulation inhibited MEP amplitude whereas 80 Hz (as used in VitalStim Therapy) facilitated MEP amplitude. Findings suggest a positive treatment effect of electrical stimulation at 80 Hz.

Fowler, 2009: Use of surface electrical stimulation for voice.

Design: Prospective repeated-measures design

Objective: To determine if voice characteristics could be modulated in healthy speakers by using VitalStim.

Subjects: 20 healthy volunteers (10 male, 10 female).

Method: Subjects received 1 hour of ES as per typical dysphagia treatment protocol (VitalStim), i.e., with concurrent exercise therapy.
Outcome measures: Voice recordings were collected prior and immediately following the treatment session. Measured parameters consisted of a sustained vowel task and reading of the Rainbow Passage.

Results: Measurable changes were recorded for both voice parameters but these were variable in amplitude and direction and were not statistically significant. Further research with larger sample size is needed to quantify the effect of ES on voice production.

Freed, 1998: FDA data.

Design: Outcomes study
Objective: Compare effects on dysphagia of three different treatment conditions: electrical stimulation at sensory intensity level (E1), electrical stimulation at motor level stimulation (E2) and thermal stimulation (T).
Subjects: 892 dysphagic patients (both hospitalized and ambulatory patients) of multiple etiologies: stroke (n=446), neurodegenerative diseases (n=136), respiratory disorders (n=156), cancer (n=61), other (n=64), iatrogenic (n=17), myasthenia gravis (n=2), myopathy (n=8), post-polio syndrome (n=2). 58% of patients (n=516) had severe dysphagia.
Method: The first 157 patients were randomly assigned to one of 2 treatment conditions: T or E1. The following 735 patients were assigned to either E1 or E2 group. Patients were treated until normal swallowing ability was achieved or when no further improvement was obtained. Follow up evaluations were performed after 3 years.
Outcome measures: Score on swallow scale (non-validated).
Results: ES (E1 and E2 combined) had a success rate of 98.4% compared with 32.7% for T. For patients with severe dysphagia, ES had a success rate of 97.5% of restoring swallowing patients past the point of requiring a PEG. Functional swallow gains were retained at 3-year follow up. No reported complications, electromagnetic interference with cardiac pacemakers, no occurrences of laryngospasm or bradycardia.

Freed, 2001: Electrical stimulation vs thermal stimulation for dysphagia after stroke.

Design: Outcomes study
Objective: Compare effects of electrical stimulation (ES) to thermal stimulation (TS) on dysphagia.
Subjects: 99 dysphagic stroke patients with evidence of aspiration.
Method: Patients received one of 2 treatment conditions: Electrical Stimulation (n=63) or Thermal Stimulation (n=36). Outcome measures: Score on swallow scale (non-validated), ability to regain oral food intake while in hospital and changes on fluoroscopic swallow exam.
Results: 98% (62/63) of patients in ES group improved swallow compared to 42% (15/36) of patients in TS group with improved swallow. No reported complications.

Gallas, 2009: Sensory stimulation improves swallowing after stroke.

Design: Outcomes study
Objective: Evaluate effects of sensory level electrical stimulation on dysphagia in chronic post-stroke patients.
Subjects: 11 post-stroke patients with chronic dysphagia.
Method: Patients received electrical stimulation to the submental area every day for one week. Electrical stimulation was delivered at sensory level (below motor recruitment threshold). Patients received 80 Hz pulse trains for 5 seconds once per minute for a total of one hour per session. Patients were evaluated before and after the treatment week with a standardized videofluoroscopy procedure. Bolus transit times, pharyngeal stasis and penetration/aspiration were evaluated and a dysphagia score was assigned.
Results: Oropharyngeal dysphagia symptoms improved, laryngeal aspiration and pharyngeal residue both decreased, and swallow reaction times improved.

Guzman, 2013: NMES to cricothyroid muscle for voice.

Design: Retrospective case study
Objective: Report on clinical effectiveness of neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) in combination with voice therapy (VT) in 2 patient cases.
Subjects: 2 female patients with dysphonia secondary to suspected superior laryngeal nerve weakness. Both patients had failed prior VT.
Method: Patients received electrical stimulation of the cricothyroid muscle while simultaneously performing voice exercises based on musical phonatory tasks.
Outcome measures: Acoustic analysis and endoscopic evaluation.
Results: In the first patient, the major change was obtained within the primo passaggio region; specifically, a decrease in voice breaks was demonstrated. In the second patient, an improvement in voice quality (less breathiness) and vocal range were the most important findings. Additionally, each patient reported a significant improvement in their voice complaints.


Design: Physiology study on normal subjects
Objective: Investigate the immediate and late effects of submental event-related NMES on pharyngeal pressure generation during non-effortful and effortful saliva swallows.

Subjects: 20 healthy volunteers (10 male, 10 female)

Method: Subjects received 80Hz NMES of 4 second duration to submental area. Stimulation was timed to 60 volitional saliva swallows at intervals of 1 swallow per every 30 seconds.

Outcome measures: Manometric measures of peak pressures and duration of pressure events in the oropharynx, hypopharynx, and the UES were taken during non-effortful and effortful saliva swallows. Measures were taken at baseline, during stimulation, and at 5, 30 and 60 minutes post-stimulation.

Results: Baseline pharyngeal and UES pressures did not differ between stimulated and non-stimulated swallows. At 5 and 30 minutes post stimulation, peak pressure decreased at the hypopharyngeal and at the UES sensor during non-effortful swallows. Across all assessment times, effortful swallows consistently generated greater peak pharyngeal pressures and lower UES pressures than non-effortful swallows. The effect lasted up to an hour only in the hypopharynx. No changes in duration of pressure events were noted.

Heijnen, 2012: Comparison of NMES and traditional therapy in dysphagic patients with Parkinson’s disease.

Design: 3 arm randomized comparative effect study

Objective: To compare the effects of traditional logopedic dysphagia treatment with those of neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) as adjunct to therapy on the quality of life in patients with Parkinson’s disease and oropharyngeal dysphagia.

Subjects: 88 patients were randomized to 3 treatment groups: traditional treatment (TT) alone, TT + sensory level stimulation (NMES-S), and TT + motor level stimulation (NMES-M).

Method: TT group received a combination of traditional dysphagia treatment interventions (oral motor exercise, airway protection maneuvers, postural compensation); NMES-S and NMES-M had 2 electrodes placed submentally with intensity set to sensory level and motor level respectively.

Outcome measures: SWAL-QOL, MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory (MDADI), Dysphagia Severity Scale, FOIS. Measurements were taken pre-treatment, post-treatment and at 3 months follow up. Data were also captured using FEES and MBS evaluations.

Results: All groups improved on quality of life measures but not on functional scores. No significant changes between groups were noted.


Design: Case Series
Objective: Increase awareness of possible oropharyngeal dysphagia symptoms that may be present in patients with severe anorexia nervosa and to present results from a therapeutic intervention.

Subjects: 3 patients with severe anorexia nervosa who presented with signs and symptoms of dysphagia.

Method: Swallowing therapy was initiated with 3 patients utilizing NMES in conjunction with strengthening exercises and compensatory strategies.

Outcome measures: Diagnostic therapy and a follow up evaluation of the swallow.

Results: Following the course of dysphagia treatment to include the use of NMES, the 3 patients were able to tolerate an oral diet with improved swallowing function and no ongoing aspiration.

_Humbert, 2006: Effect of ES on movement and safety in normals_

_Design:_ Physiology study on normal subjects

_Objective:_ To evaluate the influence of different electrode placements on movement of hyoid and larynx and effect on swallow safety.

_Subjects:_ 29 normal volunteers

_Method:_ 10 different electrode placements were applied to the anterior neck. Placements were chosen based on recommended VitalStim Therapy protocol. Electricity was applied at maximum tolerated intensity.

_Outcome measures:_ Movement of the hyoid and larynx. Safety of the swallow as measured on a new swallowing scale (NIH-SSS). All measures were recorded at rest and during swallowing while receiving maximal electrical stimulation and compared to non-stimulated swallows.

_Results:_ The hyoid and larynx showed a downward movement during maximal stimulation at rest and a decreased elevation during swallowing. The stimulated swallows were also judged less safe than non-stimulated swallows. It should be noted that the study does not evaluate the VitalStim Therapy treatment condition. It tests the effect of electrical current applied at a maximal intensity, which is significantly higher than that used during VitalStim Therapy, and does so on normal individuals.

_Kiger, 2006: Comparison of VitalStim to traditional therapy_

_Design:_ Retrospective case control study

_Objective:_ To compare treatment outcomes of traditional dysphagia therapy with treatment outcomes obtained with the addition of VitalStim Therapy.

_Subjects:_ Non-homogenous group of 22 dysphagic patients of mixed etiology; 11 patients in experimental group, 11 in control group. Patients in the experimental group were significantly more chronic than patients in the control group.
Method: 11 dysphagic patients treated with VitalStim Therapy during a 4-months period were compared to 11 dysphagic patients treated without VitalStim during a preceding period (when staff was not yet VitalStim trained).

Outcome measures: Non-validated swallow scales were used. Non-validated evaluation procedure and inconsistently applied; some patients received a FEES evaluation, others a VFSS.

Results: Patients in the control group had slightly better swallowing scores than patients in the experimental group. Because of the difference in chronicity and non-validated outcome tools used, no meaningful conclusions can be drawn.

Kushner, 2013: NMES for dysphagia in acute stroke patients in inpatient rehab

Design: Case control study
Objective: Compare the efficacy of neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) in addition to traditional dysphagia therapy (TDT) including progressive resistance training (PRT) with that of TDT/PRT alone during inpatient rehabilitation for treatment of feeding tube-dependent dysphagia in patients who have had an acute stroke.
Subjects: 92 dysphagic acute stroke patients. Initial Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS) scores of 3 or lower and profound to severe feeding tube-dependent.
Method: 65 patients, the NMES group, received NMES with TDT/PRT; 27 patients, the case-control group, received only TDT/PRT. Treatment occurred in hourly sessions daily for an average 18. Initial FOIS score in the NMES group was significantly worse than in the case-control group.
Outcome measures: FOIS scores before and after intervention.
Results: Mean FOIS score in the NMES with TDT/PRT group was 5.1 ± 1.8 compared with 3.3 ± 2.2 in the case-control TDT/PRT group. The mean gain for the NMES group was 4.4 points; and for the case-control group, 2.4 points. Significant improvement in swallowing performance was found for the NMES group compared with the TDT/PRT group (z = 3.64; P < 0.001). Within the NMES group, 46% (30 of 65) of the patients had minimal or no swallowing restrictions (FOIS score of 5-7) after treatment, whereas 26% (7 of 27) of those in the case-control group improved to FOIS scores of 5-7, a statistically significant difference.

LaGorio, 2008: Benefit of ES for voice rehab

Design: Case study
Objective: Investigate the potential impact on voice function of utilizing NMES for dysphagia therapy.
Subjects: Single 74 year old patient with dysphagia after receiving radiation for tongue base cancer.

Method: Patient was treated for his dysphagia for 15 treatment sessions. After changes in voice quality were noted on treatment 6, voice measurements were taken before, during and after each therapy session. Objective and validated voice parameters were tracked during the treatment series and at 3 and 6 months follow up.

Outcome measures: Instrumental pitch recordings, perceptual voice changes and functional use of voice.

Results: Patient showed a significant and lasting improvement of perceptual and functional voice function which was accompanied with objective improvements in phonation.

Langmore, 2006: ES for dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients

Design: Prospective case series

Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES on swallow function in dysphagia patients and evaluate whether swallow changes are accompanied by cortical reorganization.

Subjects: 7 adult, chronic dysphagic head and neck cancer patients, 2 years post radiation therapy.

Method: Subjects received electrotherapy to submental musculature for 20 minutes per day followed by exercises for 10 minutes. Patients took the unit home and performed self-treatment at home 3 x per day, 6 days per week for 3 months.

Outcome measures: Count of occurrence of penetration and aspiration on VFSS. Selfperception of Quality Of Life (QOL). Diet type.

Results: Aspiration was reduced from 50% to 14%; aspiration of liquids was reduced from 85% to 71%; residuals were reduced from 90% to 70%; oral diet levels were improved across the board; PEG tube dependence was reduced from 58% to 42%.

Lee, 2012: NMEES for dysphagia in Wilson’s Disease

Design: Case Report

Objective: Evaluate the impact of NMES in dysphagia therapy of a patient diagnosed with Wilson’s Disease.

Subjects: 33 year old male, diagnosed with Wilson’s Disease 13 years prior.

Method: Patient received dysphagia therapy with NMES (VitalStim) 5 times per week for one hour for 10 sessions. The patient ingested yogurt during the 1 hour treatment session.
Outcome measures: VFSS before and after the treatment to determine baseline measures and clinical findings for the oral and pharyngeal phases of the swallow. Movement of the hyolaryngeal complex was compared from both studies.

Results: Post treatment VFSS showed movement of the hyolaryngeal complex of 1.52 cm and a decrease in vallecular residuals. No episodes of penetration or aspiration were noted in either study.

**Leelamanit, 2002: sEMG triggered stimulation of the thyrohyoid muscles**

**Design:** Prospective case series

**Objective:** Test the hypothesis that synchronous contraction of the thyrohyoid muscle by ES during swallowing would improve dysphagia resulting from reduced laryngeal elevation.

**Subjects:** 23 patients with moderate to severe dysphagia of multiple etiologies: aging (n=10), CVA (n=4), other (n=9).

**Method:** Patients received sEMG triggered ES to the thyrohyoid muscle, up to 4 hours daily until improvement. Duration of treatment varied from 2-30 days, depending on severity.

**Outcome measures:** Laryngeal elevation (in cm’s) on videofluoroscopy evaluation, treatment outcome according to patient self-reporting, and ability to eat regular food without aspiration.

**Results:** 20/23 patients improved, 6/20 relapsed and improved with subsequent treatment. No reported complications.

**Lim, 2009: Electrical stimulation and thermo-tactile stimulation after stroke**

**Design:** Randomized controlled study

**Objective:** To evaluate the effects of electrical stimulation combined with thermotactile stimulation (ES + TTS) with thermotactile stimulation alone (TTS) in patients with dysphagia after cortical stroke.

**Subjects:** 28 patients with diagnosed dysphagia after stroke completed the study. Patients were assigned to either the experimental group (ES + TTS; n=16) or to the control group (TTS; n=12).

**Method:** Patients received ES in the supra- and infrahyoid region at an average level of 7mA for 1 hour per day, 5 days per week. Duration of treatment varied from 2-30 days, depending on severity.

**Outcome measures:** Score on functional swallowing scale (Freed; non-validated), score on Penetration-Aspiration Scale (Rosenbek), pharyngeal transit time measured on VFSS, comfort during treatment on visual analog scale and satisfaction score on 10-point analog scale. Rater analyzing the VFSS was blinded to the identity of the patients and whether or not they were part of the study.
Results: Pen-Asp scores and pharyngeal transit times improved significantly in the experimental group but not in the control group. Swallow function improved in both but only the experimental group improvement was significant. Discomfort and satisfaction scores were significantly better in the experimental group. 6 out of 12 patients (50%) in the experimental group versus 1 out of 7 patients (14%) in the control group progressed to the point of having their tube removed after treatment.

Lin, 2009: Electrical stimulation of dysphagic patients after radiation for nasopharyngeal cancer

Design: Randomized controlled study

Objective: To compare the effects of electrical stimulation (VitalStim) with a home based rehabilitation program in patients with dysphagia after radiation therapy.

Subjects: 20 patients with diagnosed dysphagia, > 1 year after receiving radiation therapy for nasopharyngeal cancer. Patients were randomly assigned to either the experimental group (FES) or to a home rehabilitation program (HRP).

Method: Patients received 15 sessions of ES (60 minutes) in the supra- and infrahyoid region with the electrodes placed over the suprahyoid and thyrohyoid musculature to facilitate hyolaryngeal excursion. Patients in the HRP group were instructed to perform 2x daily strengthening exercises for the duration of the study.

Outcome measures: Score on quality of life questionnaire (MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory), score on Penetration-Aspiration Scale (Rosenbek), oral and pharyngeal transit times, amplitude and velocity of anterior and superior hyoid bone displacement.

Results: Hyoid bone displacement did not change in either group but displacement velocity increased significantly in the experimental group. PAS and MDADI scores also improved significantly better in the experimental group.

Linkov, 2011: Electrical stimulation over squamous cell carcinoma in mice

Design: Murine model

Objective: Test the effects of transcutaneous ES on malignant tumor growth.

Subjects: 6 athymic nude mice.

Method: 6 mice were injected with cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (SCC7) cells to form a solid tumor. The mice were randomized into treatment and control groups. The treatment group received ES directly to the tumor site for 8 days.

Outcome measures: Tumor volumes were measured before, during and after treatment.
Results: ES did not promote the growth of the underlying tumor in the murine model.

**Long, 2013: NMES and dilatation in radiation-induced dysphagia**

**Design:** Randomized controlled trial

**Objective:** Evaluate effect of combination of NMES and balloon dilatation with traditional therapy as compared to traditional therapy alone on swallow safety and efficacy.

**Subjects:** 60 patients with radiation induced dysphagia status post nasopharyngeal cancer treatment.

**Method:** Patients were randomly assigned to receive traditional therapy (control group) or traditional therapy plus NMES and dilatation for 4 months.

**Outcome measures:** Water swallow test (WST) and videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) were administered before and after treatment. The VFSS yielded measures for oral transit time, swallow reaction time, pharyngeal transit time and laryngeal closure duration.

**Results:** The study group showed statistically significantly greater gains in swallow safety and efficacy than the control group. All timing measures showed significantly greater gains in the study group.

**Ludlow, 2007: Use of ES in chronic dysphagia.**

**Design:** Case series

**Objective:** Evaluate effect of use of ES on physiological movement of swallowing structures and swallowing safety and efficacy.

**Subjects:** 11 patients with chronic dysphagia (6 months to 5 years duration) following neurologic deficit (stroke (mixed), TBI, craniotomy for brainstem tumor, PD).

**Method:** Patients were randomly assigned to receive ES at sensory level (tingle) or motor level (tugging, max tolerance). Treatment conditions were controlled with no-stim condition. Simultaneous fluoroscopy was performed during swallows of 5ml or 10 ml of liquid barium

**Outcome measures:** Movement of hyoid and larynx during maximum stim at rest. Judgment of swallowing safety during stim with Penetration-Aspiration Scale and NIH Swallow Safety Scale (NIH-SSS; promising scale specially designed for this study).

**Results:** Hyoid bone demonstrated descent during max stim condition while larynx stayed in place, resulting in increased hyolaryngeal approximation. PEN-ASP scores not impacted by sensory nor max stim during swallows. NIH-SS scores improved with sensory stim, but not max stim during swallows.

**McDuffie, 2005: ES for xerostomia.**
Design: Retrospective review of patient questionnaires
Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES on xerostomia (dry mouth).
Subjects: 12 patients who had received post-operative RT.
Method: Non-randomized, non-blinded. Patients received an average of 10 ES treatments.
Outcome measures: Patient symptom report.
Results: All patients identified significant change post treatment. 67% increased saliva production and reported needing less water intake with meals. All patients reported sleeping longer and having moister lips.


Design: Randomized controlled double-blind study
Objective: To determine the effect of using NMES (VitalStim) in the neonatal population.
Subjects: 18 medically compromised premature infants with significant decrease in medical stability during PO attempts. Patients were randomly assigned to a live or sham stimulation group. Therapists were blinded to the group assignment. After 2 weeks, patients were offered a cross-over phase of 2 weeks during which they received known live stimulation.
Method: Subjects received 2 weeks of therapy.
Outcome measures: Swallow safety was assessed by clinical evaluation and radiographic swallow study by blinded evaluator on study entry, at 2 week mark and at study exit.
Results: The experimental group demonstrated a significantly higher percentage return to full oral PO (64% for experimental group vs. 29% for control group) and a significantly lower number needing a feeding tube after 2 weeks of stimulation. 8/9 patients in the control group crossed over into live stimulation after 2 weeks and all but one demonstrated significant improvement to avoid feeding tube placement.

Moon, 2013: Effect of NMES on functional dysphagia scale scores.

Design: Cohort study
Objective: To determine the effect of using neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) in the elderly population.
Subjects: 18 elderly (> 70 years of age) healthy adults and 10 healthy younger (< 30 years of age) adults (control group).
Method: Subjects received 2 weeks of NMES, 60 minutes per session, 1 session per day, 5 days per week.
Outcome measures: Quality of the swallow improved in the elderly trial group to the point of matching the average FDS score of the younger control group. Specifically, bolus formation, oral residue, timing of the swallow, vallecular and pyriform residuals, and pharyngeal wall coating were all observed to be improved.

Results:

Nam, 2013: Kinematic effects of electrical stimulation on hyolaryngeal excursion.

Design: Case control study
Objective: Assess the effect of repeated treatment sessions of electrical stimulation of the neck muscles on the amplitude of hyoid and laryngeal excursion.
Subjects: 50 dysphagia patients in a tertiary hospital with acquired brain injury. Patients were randomly assigned into two different treatment groups. One group received electrical stimulation on the suprahyoid muscles only (SM); the other group received stimulation with one pair of electrodes on the suprhyoid muscles and the other pair on the infrhyoid muscles (SI).
Method: All patients received 10-15 sessions of ES over 2-3 weeks. The VFSS was carried out before and after the treatment.
Outcome measures: Temporal and spatial parameters of the hyoid excursion and laryngeal elevation during swallowing were analyzed by two-dimensional motion analysis.
Results: The SM group (n = 25) revealed a significant increase in maximal anterior hyoid excursion distance and velocity, but there was no significant increase laryngeal elevation. The SI group (n = 25), showed a significant increase in maximal superior excursion distance and maximal absolute excursion distance of laryngeal elevation, but no significant increase in hyoid excursion. There were no significant differences between the two groups with respect to changes in maximal anterior hyoid excursion distance and velocity, and maximal distance of superior laryngeal elevation. ES on the suprhyoid musculature induced an increase in anterior hyoid excursion, and infrhyoid stimulation caused an increase in superior laryngeal elevation. Hyolaryngeal structural movements were increased in different aspects according to the stimulation sites.
Comments: Results suggest that targeted electrical stimulation based on pathophysiology is essential.


Design: Prospective case series
Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES on swallow function in dysphagia patients and evaluate whether swallow changes are accompanied by cortical reorganization.
Subjects: 8 adult dysphagic patients were treated via a standardized protocol of electrotherapy. Patient diagnoses included cortical stroke (n=4) and lower motor neuron lesion (n=4).

Method: Subjects received treatment for one hour per day, five days per week, for two weeks. Patients received VFSS and TMS (transcranial magnetic stimulation) evaluations before start of treatment and 12 hours after last treatment session.

Outcome measures: Clinical swallowing ability, swallowing quality according to VFSS, cortical representation and cortical excitability.

Results: Patients demonstrated significant improvement in swallowing ability as confirmed clinically and by VFSS. Cortical representation and excitability increased significantly. This increase was correlated with improved swallow function suggesting a causal relationship between cortical reorganization and swallow function improvement.


Design: Prospective within subject design

Objective: Evaluate effect of use of NMES on swallow function in healthy elderly adults.

Subjects: 18 elderly (>70 yo) healthy subjects, 10 young (<30 yo) healthy adults. Young adult swallow function was used as a comparative norm to compare swallow function of the elderly adult subjects. Elderly adult subjects were treated via a standardized protocol of electrotherapy.

Method: Subjects received treatment for one hour per day, five days per week, for two weeks. Patients received VFSS evaluation before the start of the experiment and after completion of the last NMES session.

Outcome measures: Pharyngeal transit time (PTT) as per VFSS and Functional Dysphagia Scale (FDS) as per investigator observation.

Results: Elderly patients had significantly slower PTT’s than younger adults. The PPT increased significantly after receiving NMES. The elderly subjects also demonstrated significant improvement in swallowing ability.

Park, 2009: Motor level ES with effortful swallow in post-stroke patients.

Design: Prospective, randomized case series

Objective: To evaluate the impact of motor level electrical stimulation combined with effortful swallows applied to infrahyoid musculature as a form of resistance exercise for suprahyoid muscles.

Subjects: 10 patients with dysphagia secondary to stroke.

Method: Patients were randomized to either a motor level or sensory level NMES group. Patients in both groups received active exercise therapy (effortful
swallow) during the electrotherapy session for 20 minutes, 3 days per week for 4 weeks (total of 12 sessions).

Outcome measures: Extent of hyolaryngeal excursion and upper esophageal sphincter opening. Raters were blinded to the identity and group assignments of the patients.

Results: Patients in the motor level electrotherapy group showed significantly increased hyolaryngeal excursion as compared to the sensory level group.

Park, 2009: Motor level ES with effortful swallow in normals.

Design: Prospective, randomized case series

Objective: To evaluate the impact on residual hyoid elevation of motor level electrical stimulation applied to infrahyoid musculature, combined with effortful swallows.

Subjects: 16 healthy volunteers.

Method: Patients were randomized to either a motor level or sensory level NMES group. Current intensity in the motor level group was increased until a noticeable hyoid depression occurred. Patients in both groups received ten (10) 20-minute treatments over 2 weeks.

Outcome measures: EMG activity of suprahyoid musculature and extent of hyoid vertical excursion during swallowing. Measures were taken before, immediately following and 2 weeks post intervention.

Results: Patients in the motor level electrotherapy group showed significantly increased hyoid excursion immediately post intervention. This change was not maintained 2 weeks after the intervention. There was no change in the sensory level group. The results confirm that electrical stimulation as applied in the study facilitates suprahyoid muscle recruitment resulting in improved hyoid elevation.

Park, 2012: Effortful swallowing and concurrent NMES.

Design: Randomized Controlled Trial

Objective: Test the effect of surface ES as a form of resistance training in post-stroke patients with dysphagia.

Subjects: 20 post stroke dysphagic patients

Method: The patients were randomly assigned to either a control or experimental group. The experimental group performed the effortful swallow with infrahyoid motor electrical stimulation and the control group performed the effortful swallow with infrahyoid sensory electrical stimulation in 12 sessions of 20 minutes of exercise over 4 weeks.

Outcome measures: Videofluoroscopy was performed to analyze hyolaryngeal movement, UES opening and the Penetration-Aspiration scale before and after treatment.
Results: The experimental group demonstrated a significant increase in vertical movement of the larynx. In addition, the hyoid demonstrated an increase in vertical movement and the UES demonstrated greater opening, though these movements were not significant.


Design: Prospective trial
Objective: Determine if improvements of dysphagia in patients with head and neck cancer who received NMES was a result of decreased complaints of xerostomia and increased saliva production resulting from the e-stim.
Subjects: Five patients that received either postoperative radiation therapy or concomitant chemoradiotherapy and had been treated with e-stim.
Method: Prior to initiation of e-stim and one to two months after e-stim, saliva samples were collected and patients were asked to answer a Dysphagia and Xerostomia Index Questionnaire. All patients received e-stim two to four months after completing XRT. Patients received three e-stim treatments per week for a total of one to two months.
Results: All five patients noticed a significant improvement in dysphagia. Five of our five patients noticed a definite increase in saliva production with symptoms of decreased water intake during meals, sleeping longer hours at night, and increased moistness of lips.

Perez, 2014: Synchronous NMES for unilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis.

Design: Prospective, randomized, single-blinded
Objective: To study whether synchronous electrical stimulation of the larynx could be helpful for improving voice quality in patients with dysphonia due to unilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis (URLNP).
Subjects: 10 patients with unilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis.
Method: Patients received transdermal electrical stimulation over the larynx. Stimulation was synchronized with the vibration frequency of the vocal cords to be delivered during the phase of maximal glottal occlusion.
Outcome measures: Complete acoustic voice analysis was performed before and after the treatment series.
Results: Patients experienced significant improvement in all acoustic parameters.

Permsirivanich, 2009: ES versus Traditional Therapy.

Design: Prospective, randomized, single-blinded
Objective: To compare the effectiveness of the use of NMES with traditional dysphagia therapy.
Subjects: 23 patients with post-acute (>2 weeks) pharyngeal dysphagia secondary to stroke.

Method: Patients were randomized to either an NMES group (n=12) or a traditional therapy group (n=11). Patients in both groups received treatment for 60 minutes, 5 days per week for 4 weeks. The traditional therapy group received a combination of compensatory maneuvers, swallowing exercises and thermotactile stimulation. The NMES group received NMES (VitalStim) with swallowing exercises.

Outcome measures: Functional oral intake according the FOIS.

Results: Patients in both groups improved their functional swallowing but the NMES group showed a significantly greater change in their FOIS level.


Design: Prospective, randomized

Objective: To evaluate effectiveness of ES on voice quality in vocal fold paresis.

Subjects: 69 patients with unilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve paresis. Most patients had paresis as a result of recent surgery.

Method: Patients were randomized to either an ES group or an exercise group. Patients in the ES group received electrical stimulation for up to 10 minutes per treatment session. Patients in the exercise group received a standard exercise therapy program.

Outcome measures: Vocal fold vibration irregularity index (CFx) and maximum phonation time (MPT)

Results: Patients in the ES group showed a significantly better improvement in the CFx.

Rice, 2012: NMES in the early intervention population.

Design: Case series

Objective: To evaluate the use of NMES (VitalStim) in dysphagia therapy of home-based early intervention pediatrics with pharyngeal phase dysphagia.

Subjects: 5 infants and toddlers in a home-based, early intervention program.

Method: Each child received a MBSS at the beginning of therapy and after the completion of therapy. Each child had one of two electrode placements involving one channel of electrodes. The care givers were trained on a carryover program to facilitate the therapy program.

Outcome measures: The therapy program was continued until the therapists deemed that the swallow was ‘safer’ as evidenced by decreased clinical signs, therapist observation and radiologist’s report.

Results: All five children improved their swallowing function after receiving a course of dysphagia therapy with NMES and oral stimulation.

Design: Prospective, double-blind, randomized case control study
Objective: To evaluate effectiveness of ES (VitalStim) on dysphagia in head neck cancer patients status post surgery and/or radiation.
Subjects: 26 patients with dysphagia after carcinoma treated with surgery and/or radiation therapy.
Method: Patients were randomized to either an ES with traditional swallowing exercise group (experimental group, n=14) or a sham-ES with traditional swallowing exercise group (control group, n=12). Patients in the ES group received electrical stimulation for 30 minutes followed by 30 minutes of traditional dysphagia therapy. Patients in the sham-ES group received the same intervention except for the ES, where traditional TENS therapy (sensory stimulation only) replaced the motor level stimulation delivered to the experimental group.
Outcome measures: Functional Dysphagia Scale (numerical scale derived from VFSS), Clinical Dysphagia Scale (numerical scale derived from bedside evaluation), ASHA NOMS, MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory.
Results: Patients in the ES group showed a significantly better improvement in FDS scores (from VFSS) than patients in the sham-ES group.

Rofes, 2013: Comparison of effects of sensory and motor level ES on swallow efficacy and safety in chronic post-stroke dysphagia.

Design: Quasi-experimental, pre-post treatment study
Objective: To assess and compare the efficacy and safety of ES (VitalStim) at sensory and motor intensity levels in patients with chronic post-stroke oropharyngeal dysphagia.
Subjects: 20 adult patients with chronic dysphagia after stroke (>3 months post).
Method: Patients were randomized to receive either ES at sensory level intensity (75% of motor threshold) or at motor level intensity (motor threshold). Patients received treatment for 1 hour per day, 5 days per week, for 2 weeks. Stimulation was received by the patients at rest (no concurrent swallowing exercises) with electrodes placed over thyrohyoid in the sensory group and suprahyoid in the motor group. Videofluoroscopy was performed and outcome measures were collected before and after the treatment series.
Outcome measures: Patients completed EAT-10 and Sydney Swallow Questionnaire (SSQ) self-rating instruments. VFSS was analyzed for presence of oral, vallecular and pyriform sinus residues; laryngeal penetration and tracheobronchial penetration. Scores were assigned on Penetration Aspiration Scale (PAS). Temporal analysis of the swallow was performed by measuring timing of opening and closing of the glossopalatal junction,
velopharyngeal junction, laryngeal vestibule (LV) and upper esophageal sphincter (UES). Bolus kinematics were analyzed by computing bolus velocity and bolus propulsion force.

Results: Patients in both groups reduced the number of unsafe swallows by >60%. Motor group showed greater gains in swallow questionnaires. Oral residue decreased in both groups, but pharyngeal residue only improved in the motor group. LV closing accelerated in both groups but UES opening only accelerated in the motor group. The amount of hyoid excursion did not change in either group but speed of movement increased in both (motor > sensory). Bolus velocity and propulsion force increased significantly in the motor group but not in the sensory group.


Design: Retrospective case series
Objective: To evaluate effectiveness of ES in dysphagia.
Subjects: 18 patients with dysphagia as a result of CVA (n=8), vagal nerve neuropathy (n=6), other (n=4). 12/18 patients were using a feeding tube before treatment.
Method: Patients had all received ES with a standardized treatment protocol and electrode placement for 1 hour per treatment session.
Outcome measures: Diet level, laryngeal elevation, presence of penetration or aspiration, residue severity, swallow delay and overall severity of dysphagia.
Results: 61% of patients experienced improvement in their swallow function, half of which no longer required a feeding tube. Results were more significant for patients with mild to moderate dysphagia.


Design: Case control study
Objective: Evaluate effect of use of ES on muscle activation in healthy adults.
Subjects: 10 healthy adults (2 withdrew from study). Randomly assigned to AB or BA group (A = patients received no treatment, B = patients received ES for 1 hr).
Method: Patients received both conditions A and B for a period of 2 weeks. ES sessions were given passively, without concurrent exercise, for 1 hour x 5 consecutive days per week.
Outcome measures: sEMG recording of submental muscle activity during a 5 ml water swallow, both pre- and post-treatment.
Results: No significant difference in peak myoelectric muscle activity between pre- and post-treatment assessments.
Comments: sEMG recording is not a reliable outcome measure for strength nor function.

Sun, 2013: NMES, FEES and traditional therapy in dysphagic stroke patients.

Design: Prospective case series

Objective: Evaluate whether combined NMES, FEES, and traditional swallowing rehabilitation can improve swallowing functions in stroke patients with moderate to severe dysphagia.

Subjects: Thirty-two patients with moderate to severe dysphagia poststroke (≥3 weeks).

Method: Patients received 12 sessions of NMES for 1 h/day, 5 days/week within a period of 2-3 weeks. FEES was performed before and after NMES for evaluation and to guide dysphagia therapy. All patients subsequently received 12 sessions of traditional swallowing rehabilitation (50 min/day, 3 days/week) for 4 weeks.

Outcome measures: Primary outcome measure was the Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS). Secondary outcome measures included clinical degree of dysphagia, the patient's self-perception of swallowing ability, and the patient's global satisfaction with therapy. Patients were assessed at baseline, after NMES, at 6-month follow-up, and at 2-year follow-up.

Results: Twenty-nine patients completed the study. FOIS, degree of dysphagia, and patient's self-perception of swallowing improved significantly after NMES, at the 6-month follow-up, and at the 2-year follow-up. Most patients reported considerable satisfaction with no serious adverse events. Twenty-three of the 29 (79.3 %) patients maintained oral diet with no pulmonary complications at 2-year follow-up.

Tan, 2013: NMES vs traditional therapy for dysphagia. A meta-analysis.

Design: Meta-analysis

Objective: Assess the overall efficacy of NMES in the treatment of dysphagia by comparing it to traditional dysphagia therapy.

Subjects: Published medical studies in the English language were obtained by comprehensive searches of the Medline, Cochrane and EMBASE databases from January 1966 to December 2011.

Method: Studies that compared the efficacy of treatment and clinical outcomes of NMES versus TT in dysphagia rehabilitation were assessed. Two reviewers independently performed data extraction. Seven studies were eligible for inclusion, including 291 patients, 175 of whom received NMES and 116 of whom received TT. Of the seven studies, there were two randomised controlled trials, one multicentre randomised controlled trial and four clinical controlled trials.
Outcome measures: Data assessing swallowing function improvement were extracted as scores on the Swallowing Function Scale as the change from baseline (change scores).

Results: The change scores on the Swallowing Function Scale of patients with dysphagia treated with NMES were significantly higher compared with patients treated with TT. However, subgroup analysis according to aetiology showed that there were no differences between NMES and TT in dysphagia post-stroke. No studies reported complications of NMES.


Design: Randomized controlled study

Objective: Evaluate the effect of the use of VitalStim Therapy with concurrent conventional dysphagia therapy on muscle activation and swallow function in dysphagic post-stroke patients.

Subjects: 120 acute stroke patients with dysphagia, 40-80 yo. Randomly assigned to Conventional Dysphagia Therapy Only group, to VitalStim Therapy Only group, or to VitalStim Therapy with concurrent Conventional Dysphagia Therapy group.

Method: Patients receiving VitalStim Therapy received 2 treatment sessions of 30 minutes per day for 5 days per week x 4 weeks.

Outcome measures: sEMG recording of hyolaryngeal muscle activity, Standardized Swallowing Assessment (SSA), videofluoroscopy (VFSS) and quality of life (SWAL-QOL).

Results: The VitalStim with concurrent exercise therapy group improved significantly more than the other groups in all outcome measures. Both the exercise alone and VitalStim alone groups improved in all measures as well, but there was no significant difference between them.
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